

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,961	11/03/2005	Andrew Gordon Williams	562492003800	7456
25226 77590 071/09/2008 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			EXAMINER	
			BATISTA, MARCOS	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/529,961 WILLIAMS, ANDREW GORDON Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARCOS BATISTA 2617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-18 and 22-40 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/12/2005, 07/26/2007.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/529,961

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

 Claims 4-18 and 22-40 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must not reference back to another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-18 and 22-40 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treatly in the English language.
- Claims 1-3 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suumaki et al. (US 6847610 B1).

Consider claim 1, Suumaki discloses an arrangement for session control in a wireless communication network, comprising: means for detecting application-specific packets in a packet stream (see fig. 5, col. 11 lines 55-60); and means for activating, in response to the means for detecting, a plurality of packet sessions with application-specific QoS parameters, without requiring explicit cooperation of application software

Application/Control Number: 10/529,961

Art Unit: 2617

(see col. 7 lines 38-41, col. 11 lines 65-67, col. 12 lines 1-2, and 46-49 - the QMOC does the QoS parameters detection independently of any type of application).

Consider claim 2, Suumaki discloses the invention of claim 1 above, Suumaki also teaches further comprising means for deactivating at least one of the plurality of packet sessions (see col. 14 lines 56-58).

Consider claim 3, Suumaki discloses the invention of claim 1 above, Suumaki also teaches wherein the wireless communication network comprises a UMTS radio access network (see col. 3 lines 31-35).

Consider claims 19-21, these are method claims corresponding to system claims 1-3. Therefore, they have been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claims 1-3 respectively.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
Examiner should be directed to Marcos Batista, whose telephone number is (571) 2705209. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to
5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached at (571) 272-7915. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/529,961

Art Unit: 2617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Marcos Batista /M. B./ 06/24/2008

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617